# SAMPLE BALLOT



OFFICIAL BALLOT ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION ENFIELD, NEW HAMPSHIRE MARCH 14, 2017 BALLOT 1 OF 2

Tarle T. Eisener TOWN CLERK

### **INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS**

A. TO VOTE, completely fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of your choice(s) like this:

B. Follow directions as to the number of candidates to be marked for each office.

C. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write the candidate's name or the line provided and completely fill in the OVAL.

SELECTMAN	FIRE WARD	BUDGET COMMITTEE		
Vote for not	Vote for not	MEMBERS		
for three years more than One	for three years more than One			
JOHN W. KLUGE	B. FRED CUMMINGS	Vote for not for three years more than Three		
		NANCY SMITH		
(Write-in)	(Write-in)	DOMINIC C. ALBANESE		
TRUSTEE OF	LIBRARY TRUSTEE	ERIC CRATE		
TRUST FUNDS	Vote for not			
Vote for not	for three years more than One	(Write-in)		
or three years more than One	JENNIFER SEILER-CLOUGH			
CYNTHIA HOLLIS		(Write-in)		
	(Write-in)	(Write-in)		
(Write-in)	ZONING BOARD OF	MODERATOR		
CEMETERY TRUSTEE	ADJUSTMENT MEMBER	Vote for not		
Vote for not	Vote for not	for two years more than One  DAVID BEAUFAIT		
or three years more than One	for three years more than One	DAVID BEAUFAIT		
FREDERIC E. WILLIAMSON	(Write-in)	(Write-in)		
	(vviite-iii)			
(Write-in)		TOWN CLERK		
		Vote for not for three years more than One		
		CAROLEE T. EISENER		
		(Write-in)		
		TREASURER  Vote for not		
		for three years more than One		
		SASHA HOLLAND		
		0		
		(Write-in)		
	ARTICLES			
	adoption of Amendment No. 1 for the T	own of Enfield Zoning		
Ordinance as follows?				
Revise the current wording of the Va	riance Criteria in Section 505 to match R	SA 674:33, Powers of		
the Zoning Board of Adjustment. (Ne				
505 VARIANCES				
505 VARIANCES The Zoning Board may authorize a V	ariance from the terms of this Ordinance	only when it finds that		
all conditions listed in RSA 673:33 a		only whom it illies that		
1) The variance will not be co				
Granting the permit would be	of benefit to the public interest.			
2) The spirit of the ordinance	is observed			
The spirit of the ordinance     The use must not be contrary	is observed.  to the spirit of the Ordinance.			

# SAMPLE BALLOT

ART	CI	EC	COL	AITIN	HIED
ARI				A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

#### **ARTICLE 2 CONTINUED**

- 3) Substantial justice is done.

  Granting the permit would do substantial justice.
- 4) The values of surrounding properties are not diminished.

  No diminution of value of surrounding properties would be suffered.
- 5) Literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.

Denial of the permit would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it.

- (A) For purposes of this subparagraph, "unnecessary hardship" means that, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area:
  - (i) No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and

(ii) The proposed use is a reasonable one.

(B) If the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

The definition of "unnecessary hardship" set forth in subparagraph (5) shall apply whether the provision of the ordinance from which a variance is sought is a restriction on use, a dimensional or other limitation on a permitted use, or any other requirement of the ordinance.

YES 

NO

#### Approved by a 7-0 vote by the Planning Board

**Article 3:** Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No.2 for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

Remove all references to limitations on clear cutting.

RSA 674; 1 Para VI notes that the Planning Board has no authority over Timber Harvesting except when a site plan or subdivision application is involved.

- 1. Remove all references to restrictions to clear cutting
- 2. Remove the following Language from section 406.3 of the Zoning Ordinance in accordance with RSA 7674:33 last sentence

406.3 FORESTED AREAS

The purpose of this section is to prevent excessive clearcutting afforests. It is designed to:

- A. Prevent clearcuts that do not recognize silvicultural guidelines and do not give appropriate consideration to forest regeneration, soil productivity, water quality, and plant and animal habitat.
  - B. Prevent clearcuts greater than I 0 acres in size;
  - C. Prevent clearcuts on slopes greater than 35%;
- D. Prevent clearcuts on thin organic soils on top of bedrocks as classified by the Natural Resources Conservation Services soil surveys;
- E. Prevent clearcuts on soils classified in Natural Resources Conservation Services soil surveys as having severe erosion hazard;
  - F. Prevent clearcuts in riparian zones;
  - G. Prevent clearcuts in or around seeps or vernal pools;
  - H. Prevent clearcuts in highly visible or aesthetically sensitive areas.
- I. Clearcuts shall be separated by a manageable stand of at least the width of the area being harvested. This stand shall be maintained with at least 70% crown closure or full stocking as recommended in silvicultural guides. This manageable stand shall be located within the same let as the clearcut

The following language remains in the Ordinance:

### Permitted Uses

In a Forested Area, permitted clearcuts shall be only those that are planned and conducted with the assistance of a forester licensed in the State of New Hampshire. Clearcutting for land conversion purposes is permitted if all permits have been obtained. Said permits include, but are not limited to, building, subdivision, excavation and site plan approval where necessary.

YES O

Approved by a vote of 7-0 of the Planning Board

GO TO NEXT BALLOT AND CONTINUE VOTING

# SAMPLE BALLOT



## OFFICIAL BALLOT ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION ENFIELD, NEW HAMPSHIRE MARCH 14, 2017

BALLOT 2 OF 2

Parle T. Eisener TOWN CLERK

		_		-	
ARTI	FS	CO	NT	MI	IFD

**Article 4:** Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 3 for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

Provide a Definition for Kennel.

The current Zoning Ordinance has no definition for Kennel.

I. Add the following definition to Appendix A- Land Use Definitions
Kennel: The boarding, breeding, raising, grooming, or training of two or more dogs, cats,
or other household pets of any age not owned by the owner or occupant of the premises
for commercial gain.

YES  $\bigcirc$ 

NO O

Approved by a 7-0 vote of the Planning Board

**Article 5:** Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 4 for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

Add New Accessory Apartment (ADU) rules into Zoning

- 1. Change the definition "Accessory Apartments" to "Accessory Dwelling units"
- 2. Add the right to have Accessory Dwelling Units allowed in all districts that allow single family homes.

This right is required by new State Law.

YES O

NO O

Approved by a vote of 7-0 of the Planning Board

Article 6: Are you in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 5 for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

Revise the definition of Abutter so that notification requirements match the requirements of the Subdivision, Zoning Board of Adjustment and Site Plan Review Regulations.

Words in **BOLD** are additions to the definition

**Abutter:** Shall mean the owner, or owners, of record of a parcel of land which is contiguous at any point to the parcel being subdivided, or which lies directly across a public right-of-way or stream from the parcel being subdivided. In the case of all subdivisions, site plan reviews, **voluntary mergers**, **boundary line adjustments and noticed Zoning Board of Adjustment hearings** the term shall also include the owner or owners of record of a parcel of land which is two hundred (200) feet from any point on the boundaries of the parcel being subdivided.

YES O

NO O

Approved by a vote of 7-0 of the Planning Board

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING