

SAMPLE BALLOT



BALLOT 1 OF 2

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION
ENFIELD, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 11, 2014

Carolee T. Higbee
TOWN CLERK

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

- A. TO VOTE, completely fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of your choice(s) like this: ☒
- B. Follow directions as to the number of candidates to be marked for each office.
- C. To vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write the candidate's name on the line provided and completely fill in the OVAL.

<p>MODERATOR</p> <p>for 2 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>DAVID BEAUFIT <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>TRUSTEE OF TRUST FUNDS</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>CYNTHIA HOLLIS <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>
<p>SELECTMAN</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>JOHN W. KLUGE <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>CEMETERY TRUSTEE</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>MARY E. QUINTANA <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBERS</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than Three</p> <p>ANNABELLE BAMFORTH <input type="radio"/></p> <p>GAYLE HULVA <input type="radio"/></p> <p>MIKE LORREY <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in) <input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in) <input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>
<p>TOWN CLERK</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>CAROLEE T. HIGBEE <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>FIRE WARD</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>B. FRED CUMMINGS <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	
<p>TREASURER</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>SASHA HOLLAND <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>LIBRARY TRUSTEE</p> <p>for 3 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>PHILIP CRONENWETT <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>	<p>SUPERVISOR OF THE CHECKLIST</p> <p>for 6 years Vote for not more than One</p> <p>NANCY A. WHITE <input type="radio"/></p> <p><input type="radio"/></p> <p>(Write-in)</p>

TURN BALLOT OVER AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT

ARTICLES

Article 2. Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 1** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will vote to delete the current definition of Abutter in the Zoning ordinance and replace it with the following definition:

Abutter: Shall mean the owner, or owners, of record of a parcel of land which is contiguous at any point to the parcel being subdivided, or which lies directly across a public right-of-way or stream from the parcel being subdivided. In the case of **all** subdivisions **and site plan reviews**, the term shall also include the owner or owners of record of a parcel of land which is two hundred (200) feet from any point on the boundaries of the parcel being subdivided.

Article 2 involves a change to the definition of abutter in the regulations for Subdivisions. The change is for the definition of Abutter to include ALL parcels within 200 feet of any point on a parcel being subdivided or requiring a site plan review. This change will make the requirements for notice the same for site plan reviews, minor subdivisions as for major subdivisions. This change also effectively provides notice to more people than would be notified under the existing regulation.

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

YES ☐

NO ☐

Article 3. Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 2** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will vote to add the following sentence to the definition of “**Driveway**” in the Zoning Ordinance:

“Driveways serving three or more parcels shall be constructed to Enfield Street Design Standards”

Article 3 involves adding information to the definition of Driveways to clarify the existing standards for construction of driveways serving more than 3 (three) adjoining lots. Current Town regulations require driveways serving more than 2 adjoining lots to be built to the Enfield Street Design Standards. This change puts the requirement into the definition, to help get the information to the public.

Driveway: Any improved or unimproved area serving as an area of access, entrance, exit, or approach from any street to any parcel of land, regardless of public or private ownership. **Driveways serving three or more parcels shall be constructed to Enfield Street Design Standards**

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

YES ☐

NO ☐

Article 4: Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 3** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will delete the current definition of “**Street**” in the Zoning Regulations and insert the definition for street listed below in to the regulations:

Street: A state highway, town road, avenue, lane and/or any other way used or in existence for vehicular travel including driveways which serves three or more adjacent lots or sites. The word street shall include the entire right-of-way.

Article 4 involves adding information to the definition of Streets to clarify the existing standards for construction of Driveways serving more than 2 (two) adjoining lots. Current Town regulations require Driveways serving more than 2 adjoining lots to be built to the Enfield Street Design Standards. This change clarifies the requirement.

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

YES ☐

NO ☐

Article 5: Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 4** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will vote to include the following definition for “**Subdivision, Major**” into the Zoning Ordinance:

“Subdivision, Major: Shall mean the division of a lot, tract, or parcel of land into three (3) or more lots, sites, tracts, or other divisions of land.”

*Article 5 involves providing a definition for **Subdivision, Major**. This change is made because the definition is not currently in the Zoning Regulation. In 2007 the Town voted to remove all definitions from the Site Plan and Subdivision Regulations and put them all in the Zoning Ordinance. This was done to eliminate conflicts between definitions in various regulations. The definitions for Major and Minor Subdivisions were not included on the published list. This change is to include the definition as it existed in the 2007 definitions in the current zoning ordinance.*

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

YES ☐

NO ☐

GO TO NEXT BALLOT AND CONTINUE VOTING

SAMPLE BALLOT



BALLOT 2 OF 2

OFFICIAL BALLOT
ANNUAL TOWN ELECTION
ENFIELD, NEW HAMPSHIRE
MARCH 11, 2014

Carolee T. Higbee
TOWN CLERK

ARTICLES CONTINUED

Article 6: Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 5** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will vote to include the following definition for “**Subdivision, Minor**” into the Zoning Ordinance:

“**Subdivision, Minor: Shall mean the division of a lot, tract, or parcel of land into two (2) lots, sites, or other dwelling units, and which requires no new roads, public utilities, or other municipal improvements. A parcel of land which has been subjected to minor subdivision shall not be eligible for further minor subdivision for a period of five (5) years from the date of the most recent minor subdivision approval.**”

YES ☐
NO ☐

Article 6 involves providing a definition for Subdivision, Minor. This change is made because the definition is not currently in the Zoning Regulation. In 2007 the Town voted to remove all definitions from the Site Plan and Subdivision Regulations and put them all in the Zoning Ordinance. This was done to eliminate conflicts between definitions in various regulations. The definitions for Major and Minor Subdivisions were not included on the published list. This change is to include the definition as it existed in the 2007 definitions in the current zoning ordinance.

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

Article 7: Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 6** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will vote to add the following requirements for Recreational Vehicles on Lots in the Route 4 District:

- **A recreational vehicle, such as a motor home or camper, may be permitted on a lot, with an existing dwelling unit, so long as it is not used for occupancy or as a dwelling in excess of three weeks in a calendar year.**
- **A recreational vehicle such as a motor home or camper, may be permitted on a lot without an existing dwelling unit, so long as sewage and gray water disposal is in accordance with State law. Occupancy shall be limited to six months in a calendar year.**

YES ☐
NO ☐

Article 7 is requested because no restrictions for Recreational Vehicle on lots in the Route 4 District currently exist. The district was added to the Zoning Regulations in 2013, but the language did not include language on Recreational Vehicles. The language proposed is exactly the language currently in the CB District, which is the district the Route 4 zone was in prior to the change.

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

Article 8: Are you in favor of the adoption of **Amendment No. 7** as proposed by the Planning Board for the Town of Enfield Zoning Ordinance as follows?

To see if the Town will vote to add the following setback requirements to waters and wetlands located in the Route 4 District

- **No structure shall be placed, located, or constructed within fifty feet from the seasonal high water line of any river, stream, wetland, lake, or public pond, and no dock may be located nearer a side lot line than the distance permitted for building setbacks in the Zoning District the property is located in.**

YES ☐
NO ☐

Article 8 is requested because no setbacks to waters and wetlands on lots in the Route 4 District currently exist. The district was added to the Zoning Regulations in 2013, but the language did not include setbacks to waters and wetlands. The language proposed is similar to the language currently in the CB District, which is the district the Route 4 zone was in prior to the change. The difference is that dock setbacks are written to be the same setbacks as buildings in the Route 4 districts as opposed to being that of the water body setback.

Approved by the Enfield Planning Board by a vote of 7-0.

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING