1 2 3 4	TOWN OF ENFIELD ENFIELD BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING MINUTES JULY 17, 2023	
4 5 6 7 8 9	TIME:6:00 PMLOCATION:Public Works Facility & Teams videoconference 74 Lockehaven Road, Enfield	
10 11 12	PRESENT Board of Selectmen: Kate P. Stewart, John Kluge, Tracy Young, Alice Kennedy, Erik Russell	
13 14	Administrative Staff: Ed Morris, Town Manager; Alisa Bonnette, Assistant Town Manager	
15 16 17 18	Members of the Public: Roy Holland, Chief of Police; Nate Miller, Chair Johnston Drive Property Use Committee; Dr. David Beaufait, Lisa Buck Rogers, Barbara Ruel, Dwight Marchetti, Dan Kiley, Daniel Amero, Barbara Jones, Nancy Smith, Shirley Green, Craig Sanborn, Nancy Tanguay	
19 20 21	CALL TO ORDER Ms. Stewart called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.	
22 23 24	APPROVAL OF MINUTES – June 19, 2023, Regular and Non-Public Session Mr. Kluge made a motion to approve both sets of minutes as presented. Mr. Young seconded. Vote unanimous in favor of the motion (4-0). Mr. Russell abstained.	
25 26 27 28 29	BOARD REPORTS Members of the Select Board (SB) presented updates on their respective committee assignments. TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT	
 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 	 Mr. Morris presented the following updates to the BOS: The Board retreat will be taking place next weekend in the DPW building. Staffing: the police department is continuing to look for two officers, A grounds position at the DPW has opened, and a temporary person has been hired until it can be filled. We have received a letter of resignation from a member of the DPW as the light equipment operator. The person is leaving for a DPW job in another town. The Assistant Clerk left as of June 30th. Interviews were taking place today to fill the position, and an offer is expected to be made. He is working with the Library and Library Trustees regarding the relocation of the library and the town offices for the renovation and expansion of Whitney Hall. We have completed the boundary survey for the Shaker Hill Granite Property, with a packet moving forward to the Planning Board in the coming weeks for the subdivision of the property. Storms resulted in roads that needed repairs, and all have been reopened but may need some additional work, such as reinstalling culverts. He thanked the staff the contributed to the response and roadway repairs. Demolition of the old chlorinator shed is scheduled. A second test well has been completed and looks more promising than the first test well. The wet weather delayed the finalization of the inspection of sever mains for the sever project. The Oak Hill Road bridge is moving forward, but some concrete deliveries have been delayed. Paving has been completed with some shoulder work that will be completed in the coming weeks. Pathways Consulting is working with the DPW regarding the enhanced sidewalks. We are looking at opportunities to cooperate and share resources with local town managers. He thanked Mr. J. Taylor for being a part of that project. 	

 Mr. Young thanked the City of Lebanon for their assistance and collaboration on assisting with the washed-out roadways. Ite thanked all of those involved in the success of the Old Home Days weekend, and the effort involved. Dr. Beaufait inquired when things will be fully restored on the roadways and yards on Maple Street. Mr. Morris stated that there will be a substantial amount completed within the coming weeks, but a request for proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (IPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public formus for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is an single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they group of and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including group 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The commided to demolish the A frame on parcel 3. The committee anvisions it being a walk/hile access with limited vahicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disabil		Select Board	Meeting Minutes	July 17, 2023	
 involved. Dr. Beaufait inquired when things will be fully restored on the roadways and yards on Maple Street. Mr. Morris stated that there will be a substantial amount completed within the coming weeks, but a request for proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. HOINTESS HOINTESS HOINTON D'POTEV Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with adutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedhack. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the adutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions is being a walk/like access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding loca	55	•			
58 Dr. Beaufait inquired when things will be fully restored on the roadways and yards on Maple Street. 61 Mr. Morris stated that there will be a substantial amount completed within the coning weeks, but a request for 62 Proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. 63 With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. 64 BUSINESS 75 Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations 76 Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the 76 Select Board. 77 Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached 78 to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation 79 Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trait and local snownobile groups. In addition, they held a 79 session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He 70 noised that there is a nage spectrum of choices and they tried to balance 70 the recommendation or set of recommendation areas for the Town of Enrifeld and 71 there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The commititee anvisions it being a		roadways. He thanked all of those involved in the success of the Old Home Days weekend, and the effort			
 Dr. Beaufait inquired when things will be fully restored on the roadways and yards on Maple Street. Mr. Morris stated that there will be a substantial amount completed within the coming weeks, but a request for proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation stor store of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to theore. He noted that there is no mole the set weight weight which ke a Association encourage proper signage and clucational plate veleicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and clucational plate veleicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended in the sing a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are rig		involved.			
 Mr. Morris stated that there will be a substantial amount completed within the coming weeks, but a request for proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snownobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendations or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendation of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public to is long a multiparter. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a call under lease enumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles public goal on and thanka. A pienic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommended to relate may be able to access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no the property naintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated					
 Mr. Morris stated that there will be a substantial amount completed within the coming weeks, but a request for proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance there commendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 the durace's high advective access to public advect word and to demolish the A frames on parcel 5. The committee encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee whicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frames on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational picces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access t		Dr. Beaufait inquired when thir	ngs will be fully restored on the roadways and y	ards on Maple Street.	
 proposal will need to be put out for paving before that will be completed. With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please veryone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committees and hardel access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicle access to public safety vehicles. To property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signa and ducational pieces regarding local Hora and fauna. A prioric table or tables may be provided, and to			11 1 1 . 1 . 1		
 With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendation star will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there is need to there avisions it being a walkbike access with limited vehicle access to public safey vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on precel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lack Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that t				coming weeks, but a request for	
 With no further comments or questions, Ms. Stewart moved on to the next agenda item. BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (IPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please veryone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee anvisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safory whicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and ommunity partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and flama. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to renourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the com		proposal will need to be put out	for paving before that will be completed.		
66 BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. 71 Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. 78 He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee antivisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommendation encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A pienic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having		With no further comments or a	usations. Ma Stawart mayod on to the part age	ada itam	
 BUSINESS Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snownobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please veryone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 201-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascona Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A pienic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be helpful for t		with no further comments or q	uestions, Mis. Stewart moved on to the next ager	ida nem.	
 Johnston Property Use Committee Recommendations Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission on as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the recommittee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfeld and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee antoisons it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A pienic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision		BUSINESS			
 Mr. Morris introduced Nate Miller, Chair of the Johnston Property Use Committee (JPUC), to present to the Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicle access to public safety vehicles, there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committe does not support having refuse containers on the provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Sugn thanked Mr. Miller and the committee fo			nittee Recommendations		
 Select Board. Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property no having a port a potty. Mr. Norris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young t				ittee (IPUC) to present to the	
 Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bik access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encurage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Stated that there committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Kuge stated that members saw the most recreationa			ner, enan er die vonision Property ese comm		
 Nate Miller stated the committee met every two weeks over the course of two months. He stated JPUC reached out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a clabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee avels is being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recourage walking and bike access they committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Norris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Suge thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations t					
 out to various groups, including town committees such as the Recreation Commission and the Conservation Commission, as well as Friends of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobile groups. In addition, they held a session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public for forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward.<!--</td--><td></td><td>Nate Miller stated the committee</td><td>e met every two weeks over the course of two i</td><td>nonths. He stated JPUC reached</td>		Nate Miller stated the committee	e met every two weeks over the course of two i	nonths. He stated JPUC reached	
 session to meet with abutters to the property, as well as two public forums for the public to give feedback. He noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Kluge stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to	72				
 noted that there is no single recommendation or set of recommendations that will please everyone, and the committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles prosuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A pienic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Kluge stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 	73	Commission, as well as Friends	of the Northern Rail Trail and local snowmobi	le groups. In addition, they held a	
 committee was charged with a complicated task. There is a large spectrum of choices and they tried to balance the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young thanked that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Nuller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 	74				
 the recommendations of public good, including goals set forward by the Master Plan, as well as mitigating concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A pientic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought for ward. Mr. Young thanked Mr. Miller and the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Kluge stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 concerns of the public and the abutters. He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Kluge stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young thanked that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 				r Plan, as well as mitigating	
 He stated they recommend retaining parcels 4 and 5 as permanent recreation areas for the Town of Enfield and they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		concerns of the public and the a	abutters.		
 they have the greatest value to the town. He noted that there are two other parcels, including parcel 3 and parcel 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Mr. Young thanked that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		TT / / 1/1 1 /			
 6, and there is a cabin under lease encumbrance at this time on parcel 3. The committee envisions it being a walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		•			
 walk/bike access with limited vehicle access to public safety vehicles, property maintenance vehicles, and disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. 		•			
 disability placarded or plated vehicles pursuant to state RSA 261-88. It is recommended to demolish the A frame on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. 			A		
 on parcel 5. The committee and community partners such as the Mascoma Lake Association encourage proper signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 signage and educational pieces regarding local flora and fauna. A picnic table or tables may be provided, and to encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 encourage walking and bike access they recommend having a bike rack there. He noted that there are no recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		1	5 1		
 recommendations for changing the beach area and the committee does not support having refuse containers on the property nor having a port a potty. Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 				• •	
 Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 Mr. Morris stated that there are right of way and deed restrictions and after the SB makes a decision it will be sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 	89	the property nor having a port a	potty.		
 sent for legal review. Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 	90				
 Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 			right of way and deed restrictions and after the	SB makes a decision it will be	
 Mr. Russell thanked Mr. Miller and the committee for their work, and he supported the recommendations they brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		sent for legal review.			
 brought forward. Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 96 97 Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be 98 helpful for the future. 99 100 Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot 101 number 6. 102 103 Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic 104 advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. 105 106 Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 			and the committee for their work, and he suppo	orted the recommendations they	
 Ms. Kennedy stated that, as a member of the committee, it was a very robust process and something that will be helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		brought forward.			
 helpful for the future. Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		Ms. Konnady stated that as a m	ambar of the committee, it was a very robust p	recess and something that will be	
 Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 			lember of the committee, it was a very fobust p	locess and something that will be	
 Mr. Young thanked the committee for their thorough work. He inquired about the thinking process for selling lot number 6. Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		helpful for the future.			
 101 number 6. 102 103 Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic 104 advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. 105 106 Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		Mr. Young thanked the commit	tee for their thorough work. He inquired about	the thinking process for selling lot	
 Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		÷			
 Mr. Miller stated that members saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5, and there is an economic advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 					
 advantage to selling parcel 6 if there is an interested party. Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach. 		Mr. Miller stated that members	saw the most recreational value in lots 4 and 5,	and there is an economic	
105106 Mr. Kluge stated that it was a very thoughtful and balanced approach.	104				
107		Mr. Kluge stated that it was a v	ery thoughtful and balanced approach.		
	107				

	Select Board	Meeting Minutes	July 17, 2023
108		very pleased with the process and there was a lot of p	ositive feedback about the
109	process. She stated that she supp	orted the committee in their process, but she was also	o still supportive of selling
110	the properties.	•	
111			
112	Lisa Buck Rogers, an abutter of	the property, stated that she was never contacted in the	ne process. She stated that
113		n cars blocking the road, there are already issues with	
114		ired who was going to police the road when cars parl	
115	would take care of the damage to		·····
116			
117	Chief Holland stated that in the	ast two weeks there have been 12 complaints regardi	ng parking, or roadway
118		of the parking complaints were not blocking the road	
119		e road use that needs more education.	
120			
121	Barbara Ruel an abutter on Johr	nston Drive, and there is a misunderstanding of right of	of way and the access for
122		ntly there are issues with signs that don't allow the ea	
123		storical record of the easement and the right of way for	
124	residents.	something the customent and the right of way it	
125	residents.		
126	Ms Stewart stated that the issue	of right of way will be sent out to counsel.	
127	1015. Stewart stated that the issue	or right of way will be sent out to counsel.	
128	Dwight Marchetti inquired wher	the SB would act on the proposal.	
129	5	I I I	
130	Mr. Morris stated that he recom	nended having a public hearing for a topic this big.	
131			
132	Ms. Stewart agreed and stated it	was not required but would be done in good faith.	
133	C		
134	Mr. Marchetti encouraged the SI	B to have a clear understanding of what the right of w	ay is.
135	-		
136	Dr. Beaufait stated that he attend	led the meetings, and he echoed that the process was	excellent and stated his
137		e parcel 3 property and the one-time income of the sal	
138	the tax base and it will not subst	antially improve. He echoed the concerns of understa	nding the right of way.
139			
140		ave been a variety of complaints regarding the proper	rty, including complaints
141	about use of the private road, co	mplaints of parking, and trash.	
142			
143	· ·	committee decided to restrict it to 3 ADA compliant	· · ·
144		are going to have to do our work on the road to make	
145		down the roadway, with additional work for the three	e parking spaces. Why not
146	add more and be open to the gen	eral public.	
147			
148		members heard concerns for abutters, the intersectio	
149		ess with the intention of respecting feedback regarding	g safety of the intersection
150	and increased traffic on the road	way.	
151			
152		I the town of Enfield having to pave and line the park	ing lot of the boat launch
153	to be compliant with ADA stand	ards.	
154			
155		parking is not enforceable unless it is specifically mar	
156	-	which will require more than the proposed gravel spa	
157		g to many calls related to the Shakoma Beach parking	; area, parking at the boat
158	launch, and parking on the Rout	e 4A corridor.	
159			
160	Ms. Stewart inquired if there wa	s any consideration as a 'pocket park' and under the t	own's current rules.
		Page 3 of 8	

	Select Board	Meeting Minutes	July 17, 2023
161 162 163 164	Dan Kiley stated that he likes the proposal, but he supported Chief Holland's concerns and stated that there is also a risk of people parking on Route 4 and walking down.		
165 166 167	It was noted that Route 4 is a state road, and they are the only agency authorized to post signage for the roadway.		
169 168 169	Chief Holland noted we al	ready have issues with parking on the roadway on R	Route 4A for the boat launch.
170 171 172 173	6	he mentioned in order to control public access it will key given to the town. He has not heard in the com- cess.	
174 175 176		he NH Supreme Court has deemed that the roadway d abutters put a gate in, we cannot control the traffic	
177 178 179		e has experienced being blocked in on parcel 3 and as picked up trash on the property.	there is no proper signage to tell
180 181 182 183	stated that she agreed with	he supported the committee's recommendation, exce Mr. Amero regarding the need for signage for peop situational chaos. She stated abutters have had to sp	ble to understand where to park
184 185 186	Barbara Ruel stated that th anyone had taken that into	ere are two other lots in Lebanon that need the right consideration.	t of way and she was not aware if
187 188 189 190		olice have not responded to a call and found a vehice eem to be misunderstandings of if people are allowed	e e
191 192 193		temporary signage was an effort to preserve the lak of dollars on signage when it was unclear how the	
194 195 196	• • •	she wishes to protect her property and the right of valso requires the same right of way access.	way to her property as well as the
197 198 199	Barbara Jones stated that a driveway.	call was made to the police department today regar	ding a vehicle parked on the
200 201 202	Nancy Smith stated she wa traffic was like last year ve	as on the committee, and she stated that they didn't tersus this year.	think to ask abutters what the
202 203 204	Lisa stated there wasn't an	issue until it was advertised and it's on Google Map	ps.
205 206	Dr. Beaufait stated he bike	s there regularly, and this is the first year they have	seen people there.
207 208 209		's not a bad thing but there has been an uptick in per- y need to have a way to know where to go and sign	
210 211 212	Mr. Marchetti stated that R those 20 feet is privately o	coute 4 down to the rail trail shows a 20 foot right of wned property.	f way, and anything outside of

Select Board Meeting Minutes July 17, 2023 Mr. Young requested to have a request for legal advice before the public hearing so that the SB can send 213 questions that can be brought forward for legal attention. 214 215 216 Mr. Morris stated he would discuss the turnaround time with legal aid to verify the timeline in which it may be able to come back to have time to review prior to a public hearing. He noted that he will aggregate the questions 217 from the SB into a concise list to be addressed. 218 219 220 Ms. Smith inquired if there was any way to assist the abutters with the parking issues. 221 Ms. Stewart stated that signage could be worked on, and we need to be cognizant of tax dollars being used in the 222 process. 223 224 225 Mr. Kluge stated that he would like an analysis of if we sold parcel 3 what we would be likely to gain and what 226 impact it would have on taxpayers. 227 228 Mr. Morris stated that there would be little to no tax impact in selling it. 229 230 Mr. Kluge stated that we will not gain many more opportunities to gain lake property and it would be a pity to waste it, as is what happened when the town did not purchase the beach at the end of the lake. 231 232 Shirley Green stated they are not making any more lake property and she doubted we will ever get another. 233 234 235 With no further discussion, the Select Board moved on to the next agenda item. 236 237 Trail Master Volunteer Appointment (Sanborn) Ms. Stewart stated that there have been previous discussions with the Town Manager, the Conservation 238 239 Commission, and the SB regarding having a Trail Master as a paid position. Ms. Stewart noted that Mr. 240 Sanborn, the proposed Trail Master volunteer, has spent an extensive amount of time reviewing the trails and making a list of recommended maintenance tasks associated with each trail. 241 242 243 Mr. Morris noted that the proposal is being brought forward to the SB to approve of the appointment of a volunteer Trail Master, instead of a paid position. 244 245 246 Ms. Bonnette noted that the term of an appointment has been left blank and will need to be set by the SB. 247 248 Mr. Kluge inquired if his role would include getting other people involved. 249 250 Mr. Sanborn stated that the purpose of being a trail master for the town is to lead a team of volunteers and train 251 them in how to maintain trails to benefit the town in the long term. 252 253 Mr. Morris stated that Ms. Bonnette created a volunteer statement and agreement based off of what the state had outlined, which can be utilized in the future. 254 255 256 Mr. Russell stated his support for the volunteer Trail Master and developing new opportunities for 257 programming. 258 259 Ms. Stewart inquired what the internal supports would be in the town for purchasing and storage of materials. 260 261 Mr. Morris stated that the Trail Master put forward expense requests to the Conservation Commission and if there is need to work it into the overall budget it will need to be put under a department such as the Recreation 262 263 Department. 264

	Select Board	Meeting Minutes	July 17, 2023
265	Dr. Theis stated that the i	ntention is to use the Conservation Fund to pay for mat	erials and make donations to the
266 267		ince and noted that he discussed storage areas with Mr.	
268 269	Dr. Beaufait inquired abo	but the timeline to develop the proposed bridges.	
270	Mr. Sanborn stated that s	ites need to be remeasured, establish the building plans,	, followed by generating a
271 272	materials list and a cost e		
273 274	Mr. Kluge made a motion	n to accept the application for Trail Master from Craig S	Sanborn. Mr. Russell seconded.
275	Mr. Kluge amended his n	notion to include the term to be two years. Mr. Russell s	seconded. Vote unanimous in
276	favor of the motion (5-0).		
277			
278 279	Mr. Sanborn thanked men	mbers of the SB for their support.	
280	Acceptance of Shaker B	lvd Bridge Project	
281		budget for the Shaker Boulevard Bridge Project:	
282	Federal Funding	6	
283	State Funding $=$ S		
284	Local Match =		
285		Project Cost = \$1,179,836.79	
286			
287	He stated that the state re-	quires the authorization of the SB to accept the grant to	move forward. He noted that
288		what funding mechanism we will use to fund the local	
289		neeting. It would make sense that the \$33,008.66 can be	
290 291	may also be a good proje	ct for undesignated funds, if available.	
292 293	Ms. Stewart stated that it	can go before the CIP Committee for review.	
294	Mr. Kluge made a motion	n to authorize the Town Manager or their designee to ac	ccept State Bridge Aid and
295	Federal Aid Programs for	the replacement of the Shaker Blvd Bridge over the Ki	nox River with a total estimated
296	project cost of \$1,179,83	6.79 consisting of a Town Match of \$33,008.66, and her	reby authorize the Town
297	Manager or their designed	es to take such action and execute all documents that ma	ay be necessary. Mr. Young
298	seconded. Vote unanimou	us in favor of the motion (5-0).	
299			
300	Water/Sewer Connectio	n Request (Nancy's Way)	
301	Ms. Stewart stated that M	Ir. J. Taylor supports the connection request.	
302			
303	Mr. Young made a motio	n to accept the request for one water unit to 20 Nancy's	s Way as requested. Mr. Kluge
304	seconded. Vote unanimou	us in favor of the motion (5-0).	
305			
306	Committee Appointmen		
307	CIP Committee Applica		
308		n to accept the application as presented. Mr. Young second	onded. Vote unanimous in favor
309	of the motion (5-0).		
310			
311	Energy Committee App		
312	Mr. Young stated that Mr	r. Morrison attended the most recent Energy Committee	e meeting and
313			
314		n to accept Mr. Morrisons application for the Energy Co	ommittee. Ms. Kennedy
315	seconded. Vote unanimou	us in favor of the motion (5-0).	
316			
317			

Meeting Minutes

318 Acceptance of Donations to Mascoma Lakeside Park Trust Fund: \$50

- Mr. Young made a motion to accept the donations as presented. Ms. Kennedy seconded. Vote unanimous in
 favor of the motion (5-0).
- 321
 322 Acceptance of Donations to Community Nursing Program Trust Fund: \$9,100 in aggregate

323 <u>Mr. Kluge made a motion to accept the donations as presented with thanks. Mr. Young seconded. Vote</u> 324 unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).

325326 Personnel Policy Review

Members of the SB reviewed the recommendations brought forward by Mr. Morris and Ms. Bonnette.

329 **Public Comment**

- Ms. Stewart opened the floor for public comment during a brief pause in the Personnel Policy Reviewdiscussion.
- 332
 333 Dr. Beaufait stated that port-a-potties were turned on their side and the kiosk had things torn off of it at the
 334 Mascoma Lakeside Park last night, and he has requested the police to place game cameras to try to get
 335 information. He would like to start a privately funded \$500 reward for the arrest of the person who did the
 336 damage.
- 337338 Mr. Morris stated that the police are investigating.339
- Dr. Beaufait stated that, regarding a special election, he is looking to do a candidates' forum.
- Mr. Young expressed his support for the forum as he thought the one held for the SB was helpful.
- Mr. Young stated that he noticed that none of the lights under the pavilion are motion censored and inquired
 why they are left on.
- 347 Dr. Beaufait stated that his understanding was that keeping it continuously lit to make it less likely for
 348 vandalism to occur.
 349
- 350 Members of the SB returned to the discussion regarding Personnel Policy review.

351352 Personnel Policy Review Cont'd

- 353 Members of the SB reviewed and discussed recommended updates to the Town of Enfield Personnel Policy. 354
- Mr. Young made a motion to give preliminary approval to move forward and finalize the policies as presented,
 with the opportunity for the Select Board to review one more time prior to being implemented on August 1,
 2023. Ms. Stewart seconded. Vote unanimous in favor of the motion (5-0).
- Mr. Young requested updates to be brought to the Select Board regarding any delays in the demolition.

361 OTHER BUSINESS TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD OF SELECTMEN

- 362 Ms. Stewart requested comments or questions from members of the public.363
- Mr. Kiley inquired if we are verifying that personnel have insurance when they are getting reimbursements.
- 366 Ms. Bonnette confirmed that the town does confirm coverage prior to reimbursement. 367
- 368 Mr. Kiley inquired if personnel should be required to be on a spouse's insurance, as is practiced by area 369 employers.
- 370

Select Board	Meeting Minutes	July 17, 2023
Members of the SB discussed curre	nt policies and noted they would continue the	conversation at a future
meeting.		
With no further comments from the	public, members of the BOS moved on to ad	liournment
with no further comments from the	public, members of the boo moved on to ad	journment.
ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS		
Special Election Warrant		
Dog Warrant		
PA-28 Election		
	mittee Appointments (Previously Voted)	
Members of the Select Board signe	d documents as presented by Ms. Bonnette.	
INFORMATIONAL ITEMS – N	O ACTION REQUIRED	
• Tax Collector's Report – Ju	ine 2023	
• Town Clerk's Report – Jun	e 2023	
NH DES Report of Alleged	l Violation, Crystal Lake Rd., Map 47 Lot 6	
• Notice of Demolition – 378		
Wetlands Permit Application	on – Standard Dredge & Fill (226 Shaker Blve	d, Map 27 Lot 2, Doskocil
Mascoma Lake Realty Trus	st).	
ADJOURNMENT	m at 9.42 Ma Starraget as and d. Vata unanin	
• •	rn at 8:43. Ms. Stewart seconded. Vote unanir	nous in lavor of the motion (x
<u>0).</u>		
The meeting was adjourned at 8:43	pm.	
Katherine D. P. Stewart, Chair		
Erile Durgeall Wine Chair		
Erik Russell, Vice – Chair		
John W. Kluge		
-		
Aliza Kannada		
Alice Kennedy		
Tracy Young		
Enfield Board of Selectmen		