- 1 Enfield Conservation Commission Special Meeting
- 2 Minutes

## **3 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS/MICROSOFT**

- 4 **TEAMS PLATFORM**
- 5 **December 27, 2022**
- 6

9

- 7 CONSERVATION COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: Leigh Davis, Shirley Green
- 8 (Vice-Chair), Doug Smith, Jerold Theis (Chair), John Welenc

10 CONSERVATION COMMISSION MEMBERS ABSENT:

- 11
- 12 STAFF PRESENT: Whitney Banker-Recording Secretary
- 13
- 14 **GUESTS:** None.
- 15

## 16 I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER:

- 17 Chair Theis called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.
- 18
- 19 II. OLD BUSINESS

## 20 A. Warrant Article for Town Forest

21 Chair Theis said they had received enough signatures to petition for a warrant article to establish

22 a town forest. The article will be voted on Saturday, March 18, at the in-person session of Town

- 23 Meeting 2023.
- 24

## 25 B. Warrant Article for 150' Setback from Prime Wetlands

- 26 Chair Theis said that when the prime wetlands were established in 1997, there was a 100'
- 27 setback in effect that all maps currently show. For 150' setbacks, all new maps would need to be
- created, which would be costly. Planning Board Chair Fracht suggested proposing 100' as the
- 29 setback, which the Planning Board will submit.
- 30
- Chair Theis read the suggested article language from Planning Board Chair Fracht.
- 32
- Chair Theis said a 100' setback would only clear 70% of the pollutants before reaching the
- 34 wetland. If the Conservation Commission sets aside enough money for remapping, they could
- consider a future article for a vote on a 150' setback. For now, members agreed the 100' setback
- 36 was a good article with no remapping costs for the 2023 meeting.
- 37

| 38<br>39<br>40       | Mr. Welenc suggested they may wish to define the five prime wetlands as part of the language in the warrant article for community members voting to understand.                                       |
|----------------------|---|
| 41<br>42<br>43<br>44 | As this is presented tomorrow at the Planning Board meeting, the language will go on the warrant article if they approve it. It is now too late to petition for a zoning change to be on the warrant. |
| 45                   | Mr. Smith MOVED to accept the warrant article as written by Planning Board Chair David  |
| 46                   | Fracht.   |
| 47                   | Seconded by Mr. Welenc  |
| 48                   |   |
| 49                   | Roll Call Vote:   |
| 50                   | Leah Davis, Shirley Green (Vice-Chair), Doug Smith, Jerold Theis (Chair), John Welenc   |
| 51                   | all voting Yea.   |
| 52                   | None voted Nay.   |
| 53                   | None Abstained.   |
| 54                   |   |
| 55                   | * The Vote on the MOTION was approved (5-0).  |
| 56                   |   |
| 57                   |   |
| 58                   | C. Warrant Article Petition Signatures Needed – 10% of Current Use fees to Conservation   |
| 59                   | Fund  |
| 60                   | Chair Theis said that the Selectboard had questions about the Conservation Commission getting   |
| 61<br>62             | funds and explaining what it will be used for. Town Manager Morris checked with town counsel, who confirmed that funds that belong to the Conservation Commission do not require further              |
| 63                   | approval from the Selectboard.  |
| 64                   | Mr. Curick said that he fait the second data and the second in here there will see the family and   |
| 65<br>65             | Mr. Smith said that he felt they would need to explain how they will use the funds, and   |
| 66<br>67             | community members would want to know how the money will be used (even if they are not required by law to explain or plan for the funds).  |
| 67<br>68             | required by law to explain or plan for the funds).  |
| 69                   | Chair Theis read the warrant article language, which would go toward maintaining trails and   |
| 70                   | conservation areas. He said the proposal is for 10% of the Current Use fees up to \$5k per year.  |
| 71                   | conservation areas. The said the proposal is for 10% of the Current ose rees up to \$5k per year.   |
| 72                   | Over the last few years, the town's collection of Current Use fees has been significant; in 2020,   |
| 73                   | the amount collected was over \$46k, and in 2021 the amount collected was over \$48k.   |
| 74                   |   |
| 75                   | Canaan Conservation Commission gets all the town's current use fees up to \$5k annually.  |
| 76                   | Grafton Conservation Commission gets all the town's current use fees.   |
| 77                   | Plainfield Conservation Commission gets all the town's current use fees.  |
| 78                   | Springfield Conservation Commission gets all the town's current use fees.   |
| 79                   |   |

| 80<br>81<br>82        | Conservation Commission members must present the article as a petitioned warrant article at the meeting.  |
|-----------------------|---|
| 83<br>84<br>85        | Mr. Welenc asked for clarification that this money is paid when a property is changed out of current use. Chair Theis confirmed.                                      |
| 86<br>87<br>88        | Ms. Green asked if Chair Theis could provide talking points to Conservation Commission members ahead of the March 18, 2023, Town Meeting session.                     |
| 89<br>90<br>91        | Chair Theis provided petition forms to members. Chair Theis said every member should aim to get nine signatures in their neighborhoods.                               |
| 92<br>93              | Chair Theis shared Selectboard comments about the Conservation Commission warrant articles.   |
| 94<br>95<br>96        | Chair Theis asked members to email him suggestions for justifying the 10% of current use fees to be included in a future letter.                                      |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100 | The deadline to submit the petition article with signatures is February 7. Chair Theis asked to have the completed signature forms back to him by the end of January. |
| 100<br>101<br>102     | III. NEXT MEETING: November 3, 2022   |
| 103<br>104            | IV. ADJOURNMENT:  |
| 105<br>106<br>107     | <i>Chair Theis MOVED</i> to adjourn the meeting at 7:43p.m.<br><i>Seconded by Mr. Welenc</i>  |
| 108                   | Roll Call Vote:   |
| 109<br>110            | Leah Davis, Shirley Green (Vice-Chair), Doug Smith, Jerold Theis (Chair), John Welenc all voting Yea.   |
| 111                   | None voted Nay.   |
| 112                   | None Abstained.   |
| 113                   |   |
| 114<br>115            | * The Vote on the MOTION was approved (5-0).  |
| 116                   | Respectfully submitted,   |
| 117                   | Whitney Banker  |
| 118                   | Recording Secretary   |
| 119                   |   |
| 120                   |   |
| 121                   |   |