

**Enfield Board of Selectmen  
Public Works Facility  
Enfield, New Hampshire**

**MINUTES of March 6, 2017**

**Board of Selectmen:** John W. Kluge; Meredith C. Smith; and B. Fred Cummings.

**Administrative Staff:** Steven Schneider, Town Manager; Alisa Bonnette, Assistant Town Manager; Jim Taylor, Director of Public Works; Karol Hammer, Recording Secretary

**Others:** Dominic Albanese, James Bonner (video), Tom Blodgett, Dan Kiley, Charlie DePuy, Kim Quirk, and others.

**BUSINESS MEETING**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

**II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mrs. Smith offered two corrections to the minutes of the February 21 meeting, as follows:

- 1) On page 1, in the discussion of the Heritage Commission under Board Reports, the mention of 'Matt Davis' should be corrected to be 'Matt Dow' and
- 2) On page 4, in the discussion of Solid Waste, the fourth paragraph should be corrected to mention the Hanover Selectboard chair (the draft referred to the Hanover solid waste chair).

Mr. Cummings made and Mrs. Smith seconded the motion to accept the minutes as corrected for the March 6 Public session and the minutes as presented for the Non Public session. The motion passed unanimously.

**III. COMMUNICATIONS**

**Tax Collector's Report – February 2017:**

Mr. Schneider referred to the Tax Collector's Report showing no real change in collections since the last report.

**Town Clerk's Report – February 2017:**

The Town Clerk's Year to Year Comparison report showed year-to-date revenues about \$4,000 higher than 2015 at the same time. Mr. Schneider said that March was always a good month.

**Wetlands Application – 17 Bridge Street – Retaining Wall & Beach Reclamation:**

Mr. Schneider referred the Board to the Wetlands Permit Application for the property at 17 Bridge Street. The project was to replace an existing wall. Mr. Kluge added that the project also would reclaim some beach area.

**IV. BOARD REPORTS****Planning Commission:**

Mr. Kluge said the Planning Commission had met, but that there were no public hearings and nothing terribly important. The Commission had considered an application for the operation of a food truck in a commercial zone.

**Heritage Commission:**

Mrs. Smith reported that Andrew Cushing would be submitting the final National Historic Register draft for the Enfield Center Townhouse, and that it hopefully would get through State approvals within the next couple of weeks.

**Tax Increment Finance (TIF) District Committee:**

Mr. Schneider noted that extending the TIF district would extend additional funds for principal repayment of the loan, and that it would be an excellent use of money.

He said that the TIF Committee also had talked about Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects that had been identified as TIF-fundable. The Committee was comfortable with the Community Building parking lot, but not with the Whitney Hall parking lot because of the new Library project. However, the Committee did change the list to include the resurfacing of the Whitney Hall parking lot and the building repainting project.

Mrs. Smith added that roof work may also be needed at Whitney Hall; ceiling stains were indicative of leaks. Mr. Schneider said the Town would look into that.

Mr. Cummings asked how much it would cost to do Whitney Hall. Mr. Taylor estimated the cost at about \$15,000. Mr. Cummings asked if that could come from regular budget. Mr. Taylor said that would depend.

Mr. Schneider said that Town Meeting voters had been supportive of amending project lists in the past. He added that the TIF also talked about expanding the district to include the Lakeside Park, but only after the Town gains ownership of the Park or at least after its long-term management was settled.

For the Lovejoy Brook project, three bids had been received, and the project had been awarded to Hansen, the low-bidder (by almost \$40,000) at \$189,000. The bid was well below estimated project cost. It would be glue laminate, similar to the Boys Camp bridge deck, and the Town was committed to covering 25% of the cost from the TIF.

The temporary bridge would be purchased and leased back to the project; it then would belong to the Town for future use.

Mrs. Smith asked where the temporary bridge would be stored. Mr. Schneider said it would be kept at the DPW for any time a road washes out.

The Town also would be doing some of the road work for the Lovejoy Brook project, and would provide materials. Mr. Taylor added that the road work and materials would count toward the Town's matching funds.

Mr. Schneider said that, with Selectboard understanding, the Town would go ahead and execute the contract. The Board indicated its approval.

## **V. TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT**

None

## **VI. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

### **Tom Blodgett – ZBA Write-In Request:**

Tom Blodgett, a resident of Glenn Road, introduced himself and said that he would appreciate write-in votes in support of putting him on the Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBA). He said he had been serving as an alternate for the ZBA, and that there were no candidates for the position he sought.

### **Don Kiley – Downtown Parking:**

Dan Kiley asked the Board to address parking issues downtown. He said that, because the 56 Main restaurant was within the Historic District, it didn't have to meet parking regulations, but that the traffic it was drawing had created issues, particularly on Saturdays. He asked if No Parking signs could be placed on one side of Main Street.

Mrs. Smith asked if people were parking on both sides of the street, and Mr. Kiley said they were.

She then asked if the parking area down behind the building was being used by restaurant patrons. Mr. Kiley didn't know; he said people may have been parking further down the street.

Mr. Kluge mentioned that people coming to the restaurant for take-out orders likely parked only for a couple of minutes.

Mr. Cummings said that better lighting was needed. Mr. Taylor noted that the Town had removed the diverter shroud off a couple of street lights to increase visibility.

Mr. Cummings said he had seen people almost get hit.

Mr. Schneider said it was quite dark.

Mr. Cummings asked if the Town could ask the State about additional or improved lighting. Mr. Taylor said he would make the inquiry, but he wasn't confident that there would be action.

Mr. Kluge wondered if the Town should reach out to the building owner.

## **VII. BUSINESS**

### **Town Manager Search:**

Mr. Albanese reported that 53 applications had been received from all around the country. The candidates' qualifications were varied and included non-traditional experience.

Nineteen candidates were selected for the next step and were sent questionnaires, with a response deadline of March 15. MRI planned to score the questionnaires, then forward the top 6-12 to the Committee for discussion/review at its March 31 meeting.

Mr. Albanese expected that the Committee's April 7 would be largely Non-Public, so members could review the short list of candidates with MRI and determine which 5-6 to invite for in-person interviews.

The interviews had been scheduled for April 21, at the high school. Mr. Kluge asked if this meant the Museum would not be needed. Mr. Albanese said no, it wouldn't. The Committee had chosen to go elsewhere because it had become clear that hosting the interviews on a Friday would be difficult for the Museum.

The Committee would narrow down the list of candidates following the interviews, and hand off its final short list to the Selectboard without rank or bias. The Selectboard would simply receive applications and subsequent materials submitted by the candidates, and no interview or preference notes from the Committee. In theory, Mr. Albanese said, the Committee's job would be complete upon the handoff on April 21.

Selectboard interviews were to happen April 24 at the Museum.

Mr. Albanese noted that the applications were higher quality than expected; it was a strong pool of candidates that should produce a strong successor to a great guy.

Mrs. Smith asked if the Monday (April 24) session was expected to go all day. Mr. Albanese indicated that there likely would be 2-4 candidates, and that the Board might plan to spend an hour with each candidate in the afternoon.

He said the Committee couldn't be happier with the support it has received from MRI.

Mrs. Smith asked how the Committee had resolved the question of involving Town employees in the interview process. Mr. Albanese said it had decided not to involve employees. MRI had interviewed several employees and all department heads in its efforts to help determine what the Town's requirements for a new Town Manager might be. He felt that was valuable and ample input.

Mr. Schneider asked if the Committee expected the candidates to stay for the weekend. Mr. Albanese said the Committee had considered putting people up or reimbursing them.

Mrs. Smith asked if the Committee had offered accommodations to anyone or thought about social gatherings so townspeople could meet the finalists. Mr. Albanese said no accommodations had been offered, and while there had been talk of doing something socially, the Committee felt that would be better after a Town Manager had been selected.

Mr. Cummings felt that there would be benefit to having social opportunity with the short list of candidates in advance of their interviews with the Selectboard.

Mrs. Smith agreed, saying that in the corporate world, candidates for leadership positions would be invited to bring their wives and there would be social opportunities.

Mr. Albanese said he wasn't sure if candidates would bring spouses or partners. He felt the Town needed to be flexible and 'go with the flow.'

He suggested that if the Selectboard would like to have a joint meeting after conducting the interviews, the Committee would be flexible.

Mr. Schneider mentioned that there had been a lunch between interviews when he was hired, though he had been unable to attend that lunch. He felt there was some benefit to having an informal get together. He said the final candidates would be qualified – that would have been established already – the task then was to see if the Board and townspeople could have a conversation with them.

Mr. Cummings agreed, saying that the Board could do interviews, but that those wouldn't be any different from the interviews done by the Committee.

Mr. Albanese did agree that the Board would need to decide if it could work with each candidate.

Mr. Cummings said that he understood from MRI that it was very common to extend an offer at the end of the Selectboard interview day.

Mr. Albanese encouraged the Board not to rush.

Mr. Cummings said it was easy to sit and be interviewed, but that it was a different thing to be put into a group and interact with different people and personalities. He said the Board knew it could put Mr. Schneider into any group and he would have no problem communicating. Some people were horrid in a social environment, he said.

Mr. Albanese suggested that some of that information could come out in reference checks. He said having a social event could get complicated and open the Town up to having candidates claim they weren't all treated the same. He said the Board had put together a strong Committee, and that it was doing strong work. He suggested that the Board talk with MRI at its next meeting.

Mr. Cummings said the Board wouldn't change what the Committee was doing. Mr. Albanese repeated his encouragement that the Board seek guidance from MRI.

Mrs. Smith said that the Enfield Village Association would hold a reception for the new Town Manager.

Mr. Schneider said that the chances were that the candidates had worked in other communities and would know how to integrate themselves.

**ReVision Contract:**

Mr. Schneider said that he had been working with the Town Attorney, the Energy Committee and ReVision to see if a contract could be established that would not require a Town Meeting vote. Even after going through several drafts, the Town Attorney still felt a vote was needed.

He said the Committee was therefore recommending terminating further movement with ReVision. He said there was a project with meaning, but that next steps were needed regarding developing solar at the DPW site.

Mr. DePuy confirmed that the Committee was backing out of the potential deal. It still wanted to get in under the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) deadline, after which unfavorable net metering rates would make the project undesirable. He would like a way to develop a contract that didn't require Town Meeting Approval, but felt it was not wise to tie up the Town Attorney any longer for the ReVision contract.

There were two requirements that triggered the concern:

- 1) 20-year PPA rate in lieu of utility rate, but with the possibility that the Town wouldn't appropriate the required funds, and
- 2) Long-term easement for the site access to do maintenance.

He thought they were reasonable contract requirements, but the stumbling block was finding a way to write them without triggering the need for a vote.

Mr. DePuy said the Committee would meet and hope for a better PUC outcome than anticipated. They still wanted to pursue the general project, but didn't know in what form.

Ms. Quirk said the Energy Emporium was willing to consider some of those difficulties in different ways. The concern was that the Town might not want the contract with a local company if it didn't present the lowest bid. She said the Town had a set of bids and knew what it wanted to negotiate.

She said she'd be happy to move forward with Energy Emporium as the investor and thought there might be lots of creative ways to structure the contract. One example she cited was to wait for the installation or go to a year-to-year lease instead of a long-term one.

She said it didn't make sense for the Energy Emporium to work on this while the Town retained the option of going with someone else.

Mr. DePuy added that the Committee didn't want to keep sending (more versions of the ReVision) contract back to the attorney. He said it was time to cut off and start anew. The Committee was open to not having competitive proposals. They had seen a range of proposals already. He felt it might be good to send an letter to ReVision and see how they responded.

He suggested that if something else happened, the Committee would get on the Selectboard agenda for further discussion.

Mrs. Smith asked about the Energy Committee audit of Whitney Hall.

Mr. DePuy said there was a NEAP grant to conduct a non-design generalized audit. The foundations were of concern, as were the roof and cupola. An inspector flushed out birds from the cupola. There was a lot of work to be done on the building. A heat exchanger would help, but not really.

Mrs. Smith asked for confirmation that the inspector had noticed issues. Mr. DePuy said yes, there were big issues. The energy envelope was the biggest concern and needed to be addressed. Another issue was the significant amount of energy required to run the standby generator.

Mr. DePuy said he wouldn't paint the building until the cupola was addressed. He suggested that the Committee could pull out the audit report.

Ms. Quirk said she might have the audit.

Mr. Cummings suggested that a plan be developed to do the work in stages and break up the cost.

**Town Meeting:**

Mr. Schneider said a script would be provided to the Board members for Town Meeting.

Mr. Kluge noted that he would need to leave Town Meeting by 1:00 P.M. at the latest. Mr. Schneider noted that a quorum of the Board wasn't necessarily required.

**Water Rates:**

Mr. Schneider referred the Board to a memo he had sent them, outlining the logic behind the single rate the Town had devised following Board direction at its last meeting. He said the Town's consumption was over 6.1 million gallons per quarter, and that the rate structure to be replaced was generating approximately \$48,000 per quarter. The Town had 477 users of the water and sewer system, and the 2017 budget for water was \$277,723.

Based on those facts, and the Board's decision to reduce the effective 7-rate system to a single-rate system, a water consumption rate of \$1.95 would be required.

Even with that rate, there would be a gap of \$85,000 that would need to be filled. He said a \$44.55 flat fixed rate would address the issue.

Mr. Schneider said that, since most customers used between 3,000 and 12,000 gallons of water per quarter, they would see a moderate increase or a moderate decrease in their bills under the new, single-rate system at the proposed rate.

He said the consumption rate wouldn't drive the costs for residents whose usage fell into the lowest category; the fixed cost would impact them the most, since their bills were comprised of about \$10 for consumption, plus the flat rate.

Mr. Kluge asked why a single fixed rate was being proposed. He thought the Board's vote to go to a single-tier system would only impact the consumption rate. Mr. Schneider responded that he had been directed to generate a single rate.

Mr. Cummings agreed, saying that there should be only one tier for consumption and one for the fixed costs.

Mr. Kluge said it didn't seem fair to him that someone who used little water would be paying so much more.

Mr. Schneider said it was possible to assign gallons to classes.

Mr. Cummings said he wanted a single rate, and didn't understand why two sets of numbers were being discussed.

Mrs. Smith said the price had to include the delivery system. Mr. Cummings said his opinion hadn't changed. He asked what was the cost to produce a gallon of water.

Ms. Bonnette suggested that, if everyone decided to conserve water, the Town's revenues would move around and may not cover the budget.

Ms. Quirk said that utilities generally had fixed and consumption costs, and that residents paid one rate while companies paid another.

Mrs. Smith agreed, and said the same was true of the telecommunications industry.

Mr. Schneider said that, in order to make the budget, the Town would have to add another dollar to the \$1.95 rate.

Mr. Cummings thought that sounded fair.

Mr. Kluge said the Class 1 customers already were facing the most difficulty.

Mr. Kiley said the low-consumer's bill would only be \$16 per month and didn't see reason for concern. Ms. Hammer noted that those people made their choices based on the rates the Town had established, and many couldn't afford a significant increase.

Mr. Schneider said that the majority of current Class 1 used no water; the majority of use was in Classes 2 and 3.

Mr. Cummings said the majority about 5,000 gallons would see a minor increase.

Mr. Schneider observed that someone who used 1,000 gallons a quarter would see a very large increase, particularly with the extra dollar added to the rate. The current structure had a lot of structures in it, with a lot of diversity.

Mr. Cummings said his opinion hadn't changed. Mr. Kluge said that implied getting rid of fixed costs.

Mrs. Smith asked if a big user put more stress on the system.

Mr. Taylor said they would because they would activate the pumps more, reducing their life and using more electricity (to power the pumps).

Mr. Kluge added that during a drought, backup wells had to be used, and so big users would stress the system more in that case, too.

Mr. Schneider noted that the laundromat used 250,000 gallons of water during the previous quarter. Mr. Taylor said that was more than two times the next biggest user.

Mr. Bonner asked what would happen if the Board set a single rate and then usage decreased; what would happen in the instance of a catastrophic failure?

Mr. Schneider said the Town would have to incorporate consideration of such possibilities into whatever rate it used.

Mr. Bonner suggested that it would be easier to have a more accurate budget if there were both fixed and use rates. Mr. Kluge added that there should be residential and commercial rate structures.

Mr. Schneider said such a system should be based on gallons.

Mr. Cummings asked who the next highest users were. Mr. Taylor said they were Dunkin' Donuts, Enfield House of Pizza and Mickey's.

Mr. Schneider asked about the school. Mr. Taylor said they were high, around 80,000 gallons. Mr. Cummings asked if the Town billed for that, and was told yes by Ms. Bonnette.

Mr. Taylor suggested that the Town could come up with some scenarios for the Board to review. Mr. Cummings said that would be fine; he didn't want to rush to set a rate and was comfortable taking more time to come up with something that made sense. He said he didn't want to penalize business, but didn't want to penalize the lowest users either.

He said the Town prided itself on promoting business and didn't want to do anything that went against that. He didn't want to make a decision that didn't make sense. He said that, while excellent points were raised, he felt the Town needed to look at everybody equally.

Mr. Bonner agreed that there should be one rate for water usage, but felt that low users didn't have as great an impact on the system. He said it would be a disservice to not plan on maintenance and repair, and felt that an infrastructure charge should be continued.

Mrs. Smith observed that most of the people involved in the discussion had private wells. Ms. Quirk said she paid for Town water, and felt it made sense to have fixed and use rates.

Mr. Schneider said he and Mr. Taylor would come to the next meeting with proposed residential and business fixed rates.

**Lakeview Solid Waste:**

Mr. Schneider said that Mr. Taylor had done some calculations regarding the number of cubic yards the Town was providing in dumpsters for Lakeview residents' use, versus the capacity they would have if each condominium had its own totes.

On rubbish, the dumpsters actually provided greater capacity than the totes would. The recycling capacity was less in dumpsters than it would be in totes.

Mr. Taylor elaborated, saying that the capacity of the provided dumpsters was 48 cubic yards, while totes would offer only 41. The recycling dumpsters provided 48 cubic yards, while totes would provide 62.

Mr. Cummings said the Lakeview residents that approached him were under the impression that the Town was not providing comparable capacity.

Mr. Taylor said they were paying for eight (8) extra containers per month – the extra second collection trip(s) by Casella.

Mr. Cummings asked Mr. Taylor to reach out to John Pellerin – a Lakeview Board member – and review the numbers with him. Mr. Taylor said he would.

**Lakeview Contract:**

Mr. Schneider asked for Board authority to enter into the Engineering Construction Phase Contract for Professional Services for Treatment Works with Pathways Consulting, LLC for the Lakeview Sewer Extension project.

Mr. Cummings made and Mr. Kluge seconded the motion to approve the contract as presented for execution. The motion passed unanimously.

**Lakeside Park:**

Mr. Schneider said the Town had received the combined appraisal report for the nearly 2-acres, with allowances for shore land buffers, the railroad easement, and archaeological buffers. It amounted to \$118,200.

Conversations with the State yielded the information that the Town would need to formally express interest in owning the land and submit a marketing package that detailed its efforts and the breadth and levels of cooperation among varied community groups.

He referred the Board to the Mascoma Lakeside Park: A Vision for the Future; Seeking Solutions document in their packets. The document could, with some editing and customization, serve as the marketing piece required by the State.

Mr. Cummings noted a sentence in the document that stated the access road would be narrowed to be a 10-foot sidewalk. He said that nowhere did he agree to that.

Mr. Schneider disagreed, saying the Board had approved the change to the access road. Mrs. Smith added that 'sidewalk' simply was a DOT word choice.

Mr. Schneider said that, in a previous Board meeting, the DOT's wish to revert the road to dirt had been discussed, and that the change to keeping it paved but narrowed to ten feet had been approved by the Board in a 2-1 vote.

Mr. Cummings said he had thought the end result was to leave the road as-is. Mr. Schneider nodded, saying it would be used only by emergency vehicles.

Mr. Cummings added that propane and other trucks, and school buses also were to be able to continue using the road. Mr. Schneider said that some would be able to stay on the main street once the underpass work was done.

Mr. Kiley asked when the work was to begin. Mr. Schneider said it would happen during the coming summer. Mr. Kiley asked if this meant the Town could buy the land for \$118,000. Mrs. Smith said that was the hope, but that there were other agencies that might put dibs in.

Mr. Kiley asked if the Town would be interested if no other agencies were. Mr. Kluge said that decision would be up to Town Meeting. Mr. Kiley noted that the Town did have conservation land acquisition funds budgeted.

Mr. Schneider said 'Town,' not conservation.

Mrs. Smith said that the beauty of owning the park would be that the Town would be eligible for more grants.

Mr. Taylor asked if a contractor had been selected. Mrs. Smith said not yet. Mr. Taylor said there was a rumor that Edmunds had been chosen.

Ms. Quirk asked for clarification on what the agenda item was for; she asked if it was to get Selectboard approval to submit the letter of interest. Mrs. Smith said it was, and that the letter would be addressed to Commissioner Sheehan.

Mr. Cummings noted that Town Meeting typically was tricky when it came to property acquisition.

Mr. Kluge said he felt the Town should proceed.

Mrs. Smith said that Commissioner Sheehan had been the one who told the Town to obtain an appraisal. She agreed it had been a good idea; the State was estimating a value of \$600,000 for the old motel lot, but now with a road through it, it wasn't as desirable.

Mr. Schneider said he had gone back through Board minutes and found that, during the April 18, 2016 meeting, the preliminary plan for the project had been presented. In that plan, the access road was specified for emergency vehicles only and with pavement removed.

Mr. Cummings said that was when it was going to become a gravel road with gates. Mr. Schneider said that Senior Staff consensus was that there should be no gates on the road, but on the rail trail instead.

Mr. Cummings said this didn't address the ten-foot issue. He didn't recall discussion of the road being narrowed to ten feet. He said the access road needed to stay paved and the same size. There would be plenty of unhappy people if it different.

Ms. Quirk asked what could be done. Mr. Cummings said it didn't matter – that the State would do what it wanted. He said he didn't understand why they would call it a sidewalk.

Mr. Kluge asked Mrs. Smith what she needed from the Board. Mrs. Smith said she only needed approval to send the package to Commissioner Sheehan.

Mr. Kluge made and Mr. Cummings seconded the motion to approve sending the formal expression of interest, along with the marketing packet, to Commissioner Sheehan. The motion passed unanimously.

**Board of Selectmen Meeting Schedule:**

The Board discussed its upcoming meetings and each member's availability. Mr. Kluge said he would be away on the next scheduled meeting date (March 20), which would be the Board's annual organization meeting (the first after Town Meeting).

He added that he also would be away on April 3.

Mr. Schneider suggested pushing the March 20 meeting out to March 27 so that the entire Board would be present for Committee assignments.

Mr. Cummings said it typically was a slow time of year, so he felt the Board had some flexibility.

It was agreed that the next meeting would be March 27.

**Trustee of Trust Funds Alternate Member:**

Mr. Schneider said that April Guinness was nominated to be an Alternate Member of the Committee. Ms. Bonnette said that Ms. Guinness was very good with finance, and was interested in being a potential successor to the current treasurer.

Mr. Cummings made and Mr. Kluge seconded the motion to appoint April Guinness as an Alternate Trustee of Trust Funds. The motion passed unanimously.

**Conservation Commission Member:**

Mr. Schneider said there was an upcoming opening on the Conservation Commission. Dwight Marchetti is not seeking reappointment at the end of his term. Jay Welenc was being nominated as replacement member. He worked at DHMC and knew waste management.

Mrs. Smith made and Mr. Cummings seconded the motion to appoint Jay Welenc to the Conservation Commission at the end of Mr. Marchetti's term. The motion passed unanimously.

**Administrative Items:**

Mr. DePuy gave Mr. Schneider a copy of the Energy Audit. Mr. Schneider promised to scan it and distribute to the Board.

**Other Business:**

Mr. Schneider informed the Board that he had accepted the position of Director of the Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission. He said he would be meeting with them the following Wednesday to discuss transition, since their interim director was ready to go. He anticipated needing to assume his new role in April.

Mr. Cummings said that would mean that Ms. Bonnette and Mr. Taylor would need to be up to speed on all the active and coming projects, like Lovejoy Brook and Lakeview.

Mr. Schneider said he already was bringing them into the loop, and would expand that effort.

Mr. Taylor asked if Ms. Bonnette would be the official signatory. Mr. Schneider said that the Board would have to appoint her as Interim or Acting Town Manager.

Mrs. Smith asked if it would still be possible to have someone look at Whitney Hall. Mr. Taylor recalled that there had been a slate roofer who had done the roof work.

Mrs. Smith said the birds were of real concern and that the theatre director had said he'd opened windows to let birds out.

**Next Meeting: Monday, March 27, 2017, 6:00 PM Public Works Facility Conference Room**

**Adjournment:**

Mrs. Smith made and Mr. Kluge seconded the motion to adjourn at 7:44 PM. The motion passed unanimously.

\_\_\_\_\_  
John W. Kluge, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
B. Fred Cummings

\_\_\_\_\_  
Meredith C. Smith  
Enfield Board of Selectmen